



THE LONDON BOROUGH
www.bromley.gov.uk

BROMLEY CIVIC CENTRE, STOCKWELL CLOSE, BROMLEY BRI 3UH

TELEPHONE: 020 8464 3333 CONTACT: Philippa Gibbs
philippa.gibbs@bromley.gov.uk

DIRECT LINE: 020 8461 7638
FAX: 020 8290 0608 DATE: 29 October 2019

To: Members of the
SCHOOLS' FORUM

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| David Dilling (Chairman) | Primary Academy Governor (Charles Darwin Academy Trust) |
| David Bridger (Vice-Chairman) | Non-School Representative (Church of England)(Aquinas Trust) |
| Tracey Davies | Non-Schools Representative(14-19 Partnership)(Bromley Academy Trust) |
| Richard Edmunds | Primary Academy Head Teacher (Warren Road Primary School) |
| Patrick Foley | Primary Maintained Head Teacher (Southborough Primary School) |
| Izabela Lecybyl | Non-School Representative (Catholic Church) |
| Angela Leeves | Non-School Representative (Early Years) |
| Neil Miller | PRU Head Teacher/Governor Academy (Bromley Trust Academy) |
| Karen Raven | Secondary Academy Head Teacher (Chislehurst School for Girls) |
| Andrew Rees | Secondary Maintained School Head Teacher (St Olaves Grammar School) |
| Katie Scott | Secondary Academy Head Teacher (Langley Park Trust) |
| Ian Travis | Special Head Teacher/Governor Academy (Glebe School) |
| Gareth Walters | Primary Academy Governor (Compass Academy Trust) |
| David Wilcox | Secondary Academy Governor (Darrick Wood School) |
| 1x vacancy | Primary Academy Headteacher |
| 1 x vacancy | Special Head/Governor Maintained |
| 1 x vacancy | Secondary Academy Governor |
| 1 x vacancy | Primary Academy Governor |
| 1 x vacancy | Non-School Representatives (Joint Teacher Liaison Committee) |

A meeting of the Schools' Forum will be held at the Bromley Civic Centre on
THURSDAY 7 NOVEMBER 2019 AT 4.30 PM *

*** PLEASE NOTE STARTING TIME AND VENUE**

MARK BOWEN
Director of Corporate Services

A G E N D A

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 19 SEPTEMBER 2019 (Pages 3 - 8)

3 REVIEW OF EARLY YEARS FUNDING FORMULA (Pages 9 - 14)

4 2020/21 DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT (Pages 15 - 24)

5 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

6 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

16th January 2020

SCHOOLS' FORUM

Minutes of the meeting held at 4.30 pm on 19 September 2019

Present:

David Dilling (Chairman)	Primary Academy Governor (Charles Darwin Academy Trust)
David Bridger (Vice-Chairman)	Non-School Representative (Church of England)(Aquinas Trust)
Tracey Davies	Non-School Representative (14-19 Partnership)(Bromley Trust Academy)
Richard Edmunds	Primary Academy Head Teacher (Warren Road Primary School)
Patrick Foley	Primary Maintained Head Teacher (Southborough Primary School)
Angela Leeves	Non-School Representative (Early Years)
Neil Miller	PRU Head Teacher/Governor Academy (Bromley Trust Academy)
Andrew Rees	Secondary Maintained School Head Teacher (St Olaves Grammar School)

Also Present:

Robert Bollen	Head of Strategic Place Planning
David Bradshaw	Head of Education and Children's Services Finance
Philippa Gibbs	Democratic Services Officer
Amanda Russell	Head of Schools Finance Support

7 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Mrs Karen Raven, Ms Izabela Lecybyl and Mr David Wilcox.

The Director of Education also sent apologies for absence.

The Chairman welcomed Tracey Davies to the meeting and introductions took place.

8 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 20 JUNE 2019

The minutes of the meeting held on 20th June 2019 were approved, and signed as a correct record.

Matters Arising:

Place Planning/Falling Rolls Fund:

The Head of Strategic Place Planning reported that the overall position in Bromley with regards school rolls was that pupil numbers were increasing and forecasts

demonstrated that the school population would continue to increase until 2026. It was projected that school places would increase from approximately 49,000 places in 2017 to 54,000 in 2026, before falling back to 53,441 in 2032. More applicants were getting a school of their choice with Bromley out-performing all its London neighbours in terms of applicants being offered 1 of their top 3 secondary preferences. Over 6,500 school places had been added through school expansion and Free Schools. Bromley remained a net importer of pupils. The focus of the Local Authority (LA) was now moving from mainstream education to SEN places.

In terms of Primary need, growth had stalled with future projections indicated a need for between 3,800 and 4,000 Year R places each year. This was slightly below the peak of 4,050 but significantly above 3,400 in 2010. The LA planned for 5% surplus for contingency and choice, although the current surplus was marginally above this at 7%. The National Audit Office recommended a 0 to 10% surplus. The surplus tended to be concentrated in a small number of schools and there was a particular issue in Biggin Hill where there was a need to provide 5 FE of reception places in Biggin Hill to comply with class size legislation. Demographic issues had a significant influence over demand. Whilst the birth rate remained quite high with over 4,000 live births each year, it had been reported that the Office for National Statistics had over projected the fertility of women. Migration had reduced, although there was still net migration into the Borough. Demographic issues impacted different Planning Areas in different ways. In North West Bromley rolls fell during primary age, whereas in West Wickham and Shortlands numbers rose. Growth was still a factor in some areas, such as West Wickham and Central Bromley.

The Head of Strategic Place Planning reported that there were no further plans to expand primary schools once the Stewart Fleming expansion had been completed. However there were some options for bulge classes should they be required and the Head of Strategic Place Planning stressed that it was also important not to take capacity out of the system at the moment. The position had to be carefully monitored. The LA had no control over the Admissions Policies of Academies and therefore capacity issues had to be influenced through discussions with individual academies and trusts. In response to a question, the Head of Strategic Place Planning reported that there was churn in the system with a high number of in-year admissions, this impacted different areas.

The Head of Schools' Finance Support Team reported that five schools had met the criteria for the Falling Rolls Fund and these schools had been asked to provide evidence of their funding issues. Payments totalling £55-60k (averaging £8k per school) would be made to those schools in October.

Noting that it was unlikely that schools with falling rolls would fill, a Member of the Schools Forum suggested that it made more economic sense to close small schools and move children to larger schools. In response, the Head of Strategic Place Planning reported that there was no long term reason why the 5 schools currently receiving falling rolls funding would be in the same position next year. It could be a different five schools depending on parental choice and other external factors. It was also noted that smaller schools tended to be located in the more

rural communities, and the loss of the local school could have a significant impact on local communities.

In response to a question, the Head of Schools' Finance Support Team confirmed that falling rolls funding was not ring-fenced so there was no requirement for schools to evidence the impact of the funding.

In terms of Secondary need, this was an area of growth following a dip earlier in the decade. The January 2019 (spring) school census identified that there were 3,848 pupils in Year 7 in Bromley schools compared with 3,323 in 2014. An additional 480 places had been added through expansions and Free Schools, and a further two Free Schools were planned. The peak of growth remained uncertain with the projections putting this at between 4,100 and 4,200. Need was concentrated in the Centre and West of Borough and there was still some surplus in the East. Last year there had been some issues with admissions in north west Bromley, and parents has raised concerns about admissions policies. Whilst Bromley remained a net importer at secondary age, the level of the net flow had fallen. The number of pupils from other boroughs at Bromley schools had remained static although an increasing number of Bromley residents were being educated in another borough.

Noting that there were significant issues in the East of the Borough, a Member of the Schools Forum questioned how new schools could be justified when many existing schools had places available. The Head of Strategic Place Planning explained that geographical issues which impacted on travel distances was a factor. The performance of schools in other boroughs had also impacted on cross-borough movements. Members of the Forum highlighted that there was significant migration to Kent schools which had not been as prevalent in the past. The Head of Strategic Place Planning concluded by highlighting that in terms of secondary it was a complex picture and there was an aspiration that local children attended popular local schools.

Turning to SEN, both locally and nationally SEN was now the key place planning focus. The percentage of children with an EHCP in Bromley was higher than the national average and the increase in the number of EHCPs was out running the rate of demographic growth which placed pressure on both the school system and school finance. An additional 225 SEN places had been built in but there remained a need to shift funding from expensive independent provision to more cost effective local provision. In Bromley there had been a move towards a more inclusive model based on supporting children locally and keeping funding in the local system. However, unlike mainstream Bromley remained a net exporter for SEN although efforts were being made to address this with the application for a new Free School as a centre of ASD excellence and work at Marjorie McClure.

The Chairman thanked the Head of Strategic Place Planning for his update.

**9 ECF OUTTURN 2018/19
Report CEF19005**

The Schools' Forum considered a report providing the financial information reported to the Children, Education and Families PDS Committee on 9th July 2019.

The Head of Education and Children's Services Finance reported that in 2018/19 Education had been cost neutral and Children's Services had recorded an overspend in the region of £3m. This overspend had been the result of a number of uncontrollable pressures such as placements and unaccompanied asylum seeking children.

In response to a question from the Chairman, the Head of Education and Children's Services Finance confirmed that the budget for bulge classes was not underspent.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

**10 FINANCIAL TRANSPARENCY OF LOCAL AUTHORITY
MAINTAINED SCHOOLS AND ACADEMY TRUSTS -
CONSULTATION RESPONSE
Report CEF19006**

The Schools' Forum considered an outline of the Local Authority's draft response to the DfE consultation entitled: Financial Transparency of Local Authority Maintained Schools and Academy Trusts.

The Head of Schools' Finance Support Team provided an overview of the consultation explaining that following a review of the consultation the Schools' Finance Team had concluded that there would be no significant impact to the LA's Maintained School as many of the proposals were already a requirement placed on schools by the LA.

The Primary Maintained Headteacher Representative noted that additional resources should be in place to mitigate against any additional burden. In response, the Head of Schools' Finance Support Team explained that it would be difficult to quantify any additional burden but if schools were able to quantify any additional burdens arising from the proposals they were encouraged to respond to the consultation on an individual basis.

RESOLVED: That the Local Authority Response to the DfE consultation into Financial Transparency of Local Authority Maintained Schools and Academy Trusts be endorsed.

11 FUNDING AND FINANCIAL PLANNING VERBAL UPDATE

The Head of Education and Children's Services Finance provided the Schools' Forum with an update concerning the recent Government announcements around additional funding for schools. The Head of Education and Children's Services

Finance explained that as with all funding announcements, the devil would be in the detail. An additional £14bn funding for schools had been announced but this headline figure had been somewhat misleading as it represented an accumulation of funding, spread over three years and included inflationary increases. Operational guidance had been issued alongside the announcement of additional funding.

The additional funding equated to a minimum of £5k per pupil in the Secondary sector, and a minimum of £4k per pupil in the Primary sector. It was important to emphasise however that this was not AWPU. In any event, all schools in Bromley were already receiving above this figure. The additional funding would have varying degrees of impact on schools across the Borough and this would need to be run through formula before any concrete conclusions could be drawn.

There had also been an announcement of an additional £700m for SEN, this equated to roughly £4m funding for the High Needs Block in Bromley. Whilst this funding was welcome, it was important to recognise that the cost of High Needs in Bromley was increasing and the impact of this announcement would need to be monitored as the High Needs Budget would grow as funding grew.

There were still some issues around funding being taken out of the Schools' Budget to fund new Free Schools and lobbying around this issue continued.

Members of the Schools Forum noted that the Pay Award and Pension Costs would be coming through a grant outside of the funding formula and this would continue for two years. Details of the Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) were outlined in the Operational Guidance and whilst it was hoped that the announced funding amounted to a real time increase in funding the detail would need to be further scrutinised.

The Schools' Forum noted that further information would need to be provided around funding for Special Schools and Alternative Provision as there had been no guidance from the Government to direct a MFG increase for special schools.

The Head of Schools' Finance reported that the Government had also issued a further two consultations:

- [Extending the Academies Risk Protection Arrangement to LA Maintained Schools](#) – closing date 4th November 2019. Prior to submitting its response the LA would be seeking views from its Insurance Manager.
- [Implementing Mandatory Minimum Per Pupil Funding Levels](#) – closing date 22nd October 2019

Links to both the consultations would be included in the circular sent to schools.

The Head of Schools' Finance Support Team reported that a review of the Early Years Block was being undertaken and an update would be provided to the next meeting of the Schools' Forum.

In conclusion, the Head of Education and Children's Services Finance confirmed that benchmarking across London had shown that with no structural deficit, Bromley was in a comparatively good position.

12 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

13 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting would be held on 7th November 2019.

The Meeting ended at 6.15 pm

Chairman

Report No.
CEF19013

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

Decision Maker: **SCHOOLS' FORUM**

Date: **Thursday 7th November 2019**

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

Title: **REVIEW OF EARLY YEARS FUNDING FORMULA**

Contact Officer: Amanda Russell, Head of Schools Finance Support
Tel: 020 8313 4806 E-mail: amanda.russell@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Jared Nehra, Director of Education, Care and Health Services

Ward: (All Wards);

1. Reason for report

This report provides an outline of the Local Authority's proposed review of the Early Years Funding Formula.

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

- i. **The Schools Forum is asked to review the proposals and to give a view on the progress to date.**

3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 In July 2019 Bromley received notification of an increase to the Early Years funding block within the Dedicated Schools Grant of £2.3m which consisted of £1.45m relating to 2019/20 and a retrospective adjustment of £0.87m relating to 2018/19. Unlike the other blocks, Early Years funding is adjusted in year, either upwards or downwards, based on the January pupil numbers.
- 3.2 Funding adjusted retrospectively after the end of the financial year is based on a 5/12ths and 7/12ths split i.e. 2018/19 funding adjustment would be calculated as follows:
- January 18 pupil numbers x 5/12ths
 - January 19 pupil numbers x 7/12ths
- 3.3 If pupil numbers and take up are increasing this will result in additional funding however if numbers/take up are decreasing then funding will be clawed back. This can vary across the three main elements within the Block i.e. 2 year old numbers may be decreasing but universal funding for 3 and 4 year olds and additional 15 hours numbers increasing - if this is the case the funding adjustment will be “netted off”.
- 3.4 The current pattern in Bromley is that 2 year old numbers/take up is decreasing but 3 and 4 year old numbers/take up is increasing for both the universal entitlement and the additional 15 hours. As a result of this Bromley is seeing a net increase in the overall funding.
- 3.5 This adjustment has prompted a full review of the current EY funding formula by LA finance and Early Years officers to understand why the funding is increasing and to look at possible adjustments to the Bromley EY funding formula for 2020/21 to ensure that the increased funding is passed on to the EY providers to support EY education in Bromley.
- 3.6 As part of the review, LA Officers will meet with a representative group of EY providers to discuss proposals to increase funding base rates. The feedback from this consultation will be reported back to the Schools Forum.
- 3.7 Officers have used trend data for recent years to estimate the take up and numbers for the next financial year to calculate the expected income and expenditure. Based on these findings, the LA is recommending an increase to the EY funding to providers as follows;

	2019/20 funding- hourly base rate	2020/21 funding- hourly base rate	Increase	% increase
2 year old funding	£6.00	£6.50	0.50p	8.33%
Universal funding for 3 and 4 year olds	£4.70	£4.90	0.20p	4.25%
Additional 15 hours funding	£4.70	£4.90	0.20p	4.25%

- 3.8 The LA is proposing just to increase the base rate at this stage which will mean an increase to all providers, as opposed to increasing or changing the other supplements such as deprivation as this would produce different outcomes for different providers. This could form part of a further review for 2021/22.

- 3.9 The LA is proposing a higher increase to the 2 year old funding which is already higher than the other rates to reflect the different staff to pupil ratios for 2 year olds – it is also hoped that as Bromley is seeing a decrease in both the eligibility and take up for 2 year olds that this increase will encourage more settings to offer places for two year olds and will help to increase take up.
- 3.10 The figures are based on best estimates. It is always difficult to predict these figures accurately, however these funding changes are deemed to be low risk as the EY funding is adjusted in year and not subject to the impact of lagged funding in the same way that the other blocks are. Therefore if the numbers/take up increase more than anticipated, the funding would be increased to reflect this.
- 3.11 These funding levels are based on the current funding levels from the DfE – although there has been some discussion in the press regarding potential increases to EY funding no detailed figures have yet been released. If the per pupil funding that Bromley receives for EY pupils does increase as part of the 2020/21 funding settlement then the LA would also look at passing these increases onto the providers so the proposed rates could increase further.
- 3.12 The review also allows for an increase of £0.5m to the funding that is held centrally to support Early Year pupils with SEND which is currently held in the EY Block. The LA will continue to meet the required “pass-through” rate for the EY Block.
- 3.13 Full details of the supporting calculations can be seen at appendix 1.
- 3.14 The Schools Forum is asked to discuss these proposals with a view to the funding formula base rates being increased as part of the 2020/21 budget setting process, which will be presented to Members as part of the full 2020/21 budget in January 2020 for approval.

This page is left intentionally blank

Appendix 1

	<u>2 Year Old Funding</u>	<u>3 and 4 Year Old Universal Funding</u>	<u>3 and 4 Year Old Additional 15 Hours Funding</u>	<u>Total Early Year Block Funding</u>
2020/21				
EY Pupil numbers	442	5,985	1,659	
Baseline £ Per Pupil	5.66	4.91	4.91	
Hours (15 x 38)	570	570	570	
Funding	1,424,948	16,751,591	4,641,840	
Final funding	1,424,948	16,751,591	4,641,840	22,818,379
Final Expenditure (estimated)				
EY Pupil numbers	626	6,127	1,760	
Baseline £ Per Pupil	6.50	4.90	4.90	
Hours (based on estimated take up)	418	494	494	
	1,700,967	14,831,016	4,260,256	
Deprivation funding		760,378	262,669	
Central spend		1,150,000		
Total Expenditure	1,700,967	16,741,394	4,522,925	22,965,286
Over/underspend at year end	-276,019	10,197	118,915	-146,907
Retrospective adjustment	7	200,905	84,422	285,334
Final over/underspend	-276,012	211,102	203,337	138,427

This page is left intentionally blank

Report No.
ED18085

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

Decision Maker: **SCHOOLS' FORUM**

Date: **Thursday 9 November 2019**

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

Title: **2020/21 DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT**

Contact Officer: Amanda Russell, Head of Schools Finance Support
Tel: 020 8313 4806 E-mail: Amanda.Russell@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Executive Director of Education, Care and Health Services

Ward: (All Wards);

1. Reason for report

This report provides an outline of the estimated DSG allocation for 2020/21 and an overview of how this will be spent,

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

i. The Schools Forum is asked to review the estimated DSG income and forecast expenditure for 2020/21 in preparation for the full budget setting process.

a) COMMENTARY

1.1 The indicative Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) funding for 2020/21 has now been provided to all LAs – this is currently based on October 2018 pupil numbers so will be uplifted in December to reflect the October 2019 census data. The DSG for 2020/21 is divided into four blocks – High Needs, Early Years, Schools and Schools Central. The expected income is detailed below

2020/21 Dedicated Schools Grant					
	High Needs Block	Early Years Block	Schools Block	Schools Central Block	Total
Gross Grant Funding	£53,335,526	£23,032,667	£212,694,788	£1,889,999	£290,952,980
Recoupment adjustment	-£8,786,000				-£8,786,000
Net Grant Allocation	£44,549,526	£23,032,667	£212,694,788	£1,889,999	£282,166,980

1.2 The LA has looked at each of these blocks and has forecast the related expenditure for 2020/21 based on information that is currently available at this time. Full details of the projected grant income and expenditure can be seen at Appendix 1 with detailed information regarding each block provided below.

1.3 Appendix 2 shows the allocation from DfE which excludes the Early Years Block.

1.4 Schools Central Block

Grant 2020/21	£1,889,999
Expenditure 2020/21	£2,249,999
Contribution from Council	£360,000
Expected (Over)/ Underspend	(£0)

The Schools Central Block is showing an overspend of £360k which is proposed will be met by a contribution of £360k from the Council to offset the deficit. Officers will continue to work towards bringing this expenditure in line

1.5 Early Years Block

Grant 2020/21	£23,032,667
Expenditure 2020/21	£23,185,125
Accrual for 2020/21 (expected in July 2021)	£285,334

adjustment to income	
Expected (Over)/ Underspend	£132,876

The Early Years Block income has been estimated as part of the separate review carried out by the LA and the income has been estimated as the DfE has not yet released any funding information relating to 2020/21. The projected expenditure has been estimated using the figures detailed in a separate report. Although this block is showing a small overspend this will be accounted for as an accrual at the end of the financial year based on the estimated retrospective adjustment expected in July 2021.

1.6 The funding calculation also allows for £500k to be transferred from the EY block to the HN Block to support EY pupils with SEND.

1.7 Schools Block

Grant 2020/21	£212,694,788
Growth funding (estimated)	£1,500,000
Adjustment for free school pupils in Sept 2019	£2,381,822
Expenditure 2020/21	£216,576,493
Expected (Over)/ Underspend	£117

1.8 The Schools Block funding has been calculated using the October 2018 census pupil numbers and the per pupil units of funding which have been calculated for 2020/21. Based on the published figures the Primary unit of funding has increased from £4,200 to £4,282 which is an increase of around 1.95% and the Secondary unit of funding has increased from £5,183 to £5,408 which is an increase of around 4.34%.

1.9 The funding that the LA is allocated for the schools block is calculated by running the NFF for every school to give a total figure, which is then divided by the number of pupils in each sector to give a per pupil figure. For these calculation purposes the Schools Block has been increased as follows;

- a) An estimated figure of £1.5m for growth funding has been included – funding in 2019/20 was £1.9m. This funding has been offset against expenditure at the same level.
- b) An estimated amount of £2.3m has been included for the pupils expected to start at the free schools in September 2019 which will be included in the final funding.

1.10 The main changes to the NFF for 2020/21 are as follows

- a) The baseline for floor funding is the 2019/20 notional NFF allocations and the funding floor is calculated at 1.84% above the baseline

- b) Unit values have typically been increased by around 4%, with the exception of Free school meal funding which has only been increased in line with inflation
- c) Minimum per pupil funding levels are now compulsory and have been set at £3,750 for primary schools and £5,000 for secondary schools (nb the primary level is set to increase to £4,000 in 2021/22)
- d) The MFG level is required to be between 0.5% and 1.84%

1.11 The funding formula has been run for all Bromley schools taking into account these changes.

1.12 In previous years Bromley has not used the minimum funding levels as part of the formula calculation – now that this has become compulsory there are a number of schools that become eligible for protection as follows:

- a) 11 Primary schools to receive around £521k collectively
- b) 2 Secondary schools to receive around £46k collectively

1.13 In the primary sector the schools that now fall into this category are mainly the larger primary, infant and junior schools where the per pupil funding will always be the lowest. In the secondary sector it is directed to the two schools which receive lower levels of additional funding through the additional factors such as deprivation and low prior attainment.

1.14 The MFG protection across all schools has reduced to around £3.6m.

1.15 The MFG level that has been used by Bromley is 0.84% which sits part way between the government's parameters. Bromley is not able to calculate the funding at or around the highest level of 1.84% due to the impact of the number of free schools and the issues around lagged funding, which continues to direct funding away from all other schools.

1.16 The LA is very much aware of the concerns that schools have raised around this issue, and Bromley Chief Officers and Members have in turn escalated these concerns to the government. However this issue has not yet been addressed and continues to be a significant problem for Bromley.

1.17 High Needs Block

Grant 2019/20	£53,335,526
Recoupment	£8,786,000
LA Expenditure 2019/20	£43,810,702
Expected (Over)/ Underspend	£738,824

There continues to be significant pressures on the High Needs Block. Estimated expenditure is based on the pattern of new EHCPs (Education, Health and Care Plans) seen over recent years and on the average cost of a placement. The demand for placements of children and young people with SEN continues to increase. Increased numbers of children and young people have been placed at mainstream schools, special schools and resourced provisions. Nevertheless the sustained increase in demand results in the continued reliance on independent settings.

1.18 Due to the overall position for the DSG the LA felt it was important to share this information with the Schools Forum at the earliest opportunity. Schools Forum members are asked to review this information in advance of the full budget setting process in December. All of the figures are subject to change as further data is received and grant levels amended.

This page is left intentionally blank

This page is left intentionally blank

KEY:	Explanation	Provisional NFF funding in 2020-21	* Denotes figures which will be updated	** Denotes figures which may be updated
-------------	-------------	------------------------------------	---	---

		Provisional schools NFF funding in 2020-21						Provisional high needs NFF funding in 2020-21								Provisional CSSB NFF funding in 2020-21				Provisional total NFF funding 2020-21		
		Actual primary unit of funding (PUF)	Actual secondary unit of funding (SUF)	Primary pupil numbers (2019-20 DSG schools block, duplicates apportioned)*	Secondary pupil numbers (2019-20 DSG schools block, duplicates apportioned)*	Actual 2020-21 funding through the premises factors	Provisional local authority protection* (total)	Provisional NFF 2020-21 schools block funding (excluding funding through the growth factor)*	High needs NFF 2020-21 allocations, excluding basic entitlement factor, import/export adjustments and hospital education funding	Number of pupils in special schools/academies*	ACA-weighted basic entitlement unit rate (£4,000 per pupil)	Basic entitlement factor*	Net number of imported (+) or exported (-) pupils/students (based on January 2019 school census and February R06 2018/19 II R)*	Additional funding for new and growing special free schools*	Import/export adjustments (£6,000 per pupil/student), including adjustments in relation to new and growing special	2019-20 hospital education funding with an 8% uplift**	Provisional high needs NFF allocations for 2020-21 (total cash)*	Actual CSSB unit of funding for ongoing functions	Pupil numbers (2019-20 Schools block DSG duplicates apportioned)*	Actual funding for historic commitments for 2020-21	Provisional NFF 2020-21 CSSB funding*	Provisional NFF 2020-21 allocations for schools, high needs and central school services blocks*
		[a]	[b]	[c]	[d]	[e]	[f]	[g]	[h]	[i]	[j]	[k]	[l]	[m]	[n]	[o]*	[p]	[q]	[r]	[s]	[t]	[u]
								= ([a] x [c]) + ([b] x [d]) + [e] + [f]				= [l] x [j]			= [i] x £6,000 + [m]		= [h] + [k] + [n] + [o]		= [c] + [d]		= ([q] x [r]) + [s]	= [g] + [p] + [t]
				#####	2,848,164	£641,233,163	#####	£35,593,391,183	#####			#####		#####		#####	£7,030,340,380		7,357,629	#####	£423,952,585	£43,047,684,148
Bromley		£4,282	£5,408	27246	17471	£1,552,467	£0	£212,694,788	£48,165,483	#####	£4,351	£3,728,483	113	£0	£678,000	£763,560	£53,335,526	£42.27	44,717	£0	£1,889,999	£267,920,312

This page is left intentionally blank